

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,629	08/17/2001	Howard Lee		6309	
7.	590 06/26/2003				
EDWARD P DUTKIEWICZ			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 511 LARGO, FL	33779-0511		SMITH, JA	SMITH, JAMES G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3723	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				(9			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,0			
Office Action Summary		09/932,629	LEE, HOWARD				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James G. Smith	3723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears in the cover sheet wit	th the corresp ndence address -	-			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a recy within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ution.			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 191	March 2003 .					
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa- closed in accordance with the practice under			ts is			
	on of Claims						
, —	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applica						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.					
·· _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		ne Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).			
) The translation of the foreign language proactions Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·					
Attachmen	<u>-</u>	•					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Tr							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
 - claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant is now apparently trying to claim a "kit" that contains both the driver and the fastener and that the invention is neither the driver nor the fastener, but the combination of both. Claim 1, however still does not agree with the drawings as the working inner portion (20) is clearly not "adjacent to the handle end" (16) as clearly shown in figure 1. Further, as the fastener is now claimed as part of the invention, its recitation is also misleading and vague in claim 1 as the driver "working outer portion" (22) is claimed as "snugly receivable by the working outer portion". Thus it appears that the driver engages itself.

With respect to claim 2, how does the handle end (16) fit into the support shaft (74)? There is still no support for any combination of embodiments into one embodiment. The ambiguity apparently arises from the use of the term "shaft" to mean both the driver shaft (14) of the first embodiment and the various shaped and sized bits of the second embodiment of figure 5. The two are not the same and thus there is still confusion in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, In re Steele, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 19 March 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is still unclear as to what applicant believes the invention to be as the wording in the claims is vague and indefinite.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) first Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-9835 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

James G. Smith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3723

jgs June 25, 2003